

MINIMAL DISCREPANCIES OF HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES

VLADIMIR MAŠEK

ABSTRACT. We give an upper bound for the minimal discrepancies of hypersurface singularities. As an application, we show that Shokurov's conjecture is true for log-terminal threefolds.

Contents.

0. Introduction
1. Generalities about discrepancy coefficients
2. Minimal discrepancies and Shokurov's conjecture
3. Proof of the main result (Theorem 1)
4. Minimal discrepancies of log-terminal threefold singularities

0. INTRODUCTION

Let Y be a normal, $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein projective variety, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities. The discrepancy divisor $\Delta = K_X - f^*K_Y$ plays a key role in the geometry of Y . For example, the singularities allowed on a minimal (resp. on a canonical) model of Y are defined in terms of Δ . Also, effective results for global generation of linear systems on singular threefolds (cf. [ELM]) involve certain coefficients of Δ .

There are many difficult conjectures, and several important results (at least in dimension ≤ 3), regarding the discrepancy coefficients of Y (i.e., the coefficients of Δ). In this paper we study a special case of the following problem:

Shokurov's conjecture. (cf. [Sho], [Kol]) *If $\dim(Y) = n$, and $y \in Y$ is a singular point, then $\text{md}_y(Y) \leq n - 2$.*

The *minimal discrepancy* of Y at y , $\text{md}_y(Y)$, is defined as

$$\text{md}_y(Y) = \inf\{\text{ord}_F(\Delta) \mid f(F) = \{y\}\}.$$

The main result of this paper is an elementary computation of $\text{md}_y(Y)$ for a large class of hypersurface singularities:

Theorem 1. *Assume that the germ (Y, y) is analytically equivalent to a hypersurface singularity $(Y', 0) \subset (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}, 0)$, given by*

$$Y' = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \mid G(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = 0\}; \quad G(0, \dots, 0) = 0.$$

For an n -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of positive integers, write $G(t^{a_1}u_1, \dots, t^{a_n}u_n, t) = t^A\phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) + t^{A+1}\psi(u_1, \dots, u_n, t)$ with $\phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \neq 0$. Note that ϕ is always a polynomial of degree at most A , even if G is a power series. Assume that ϕ has at least one irreducible factor with exponent 1 in its factorization.

Then $\text{md}_y(Y) \leq d$, where $d = (a_1 + \dots + a_n) - A$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E15; Secondary 14B05, 14E30, 14J70.

This criterion applies, for example, to hypersurface singularities of rank at least 2 (if the singularity $(Y, 0)$ is defined by $G(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = 0$, then we define its rank as the rank of the quadratic part of G). It applies also to terminal (and, more generally, *cDV*) singularities in dimension 3. Shokurov's conjecture for terminal threefolds was proved by D. Markushevich in [Mrk], using the language of toric geometry, Newton diagrams, admissible weights, etc., and using the fact that the singularities are isolated. Our proof shows that the result is completely elementary, and works for non-isolated singularities as well.

The paper is organized as follows: In §1 we discuss discrepancy coefficients in general. Everything in this section is well-known to the experts; we wrote it mainly to fix our notations and terminology. We discuss in some detail the invariance of certain definitions under analytic equivalence of germs; we couldn't find a satisfactory reference in the literature. (N. Mohan Kumar pointed out to us that the matter is not completely trivial.) In §2 we introduce minimal discrepancies and prove some easy reductions of Shokurov's conjecture. In §3 we prove Theorem 1, and in §4 we carry out the computations for log-terminal threefold singularities.

We would like to express our gratitude to L. Ein, P. Ionescu, R. Lazarsfeld, K. Matsuki, and N. Mohan Kumar; our many conversations were very useful.

1. GENERALITIES ABOUT DISCREPANCY COEFFICIENTS

In this section we recall several definitions and results regarding discrepancy coefficients, cf. [C3f], [CKM], [KMM].

(1.1) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism of normal projective varieties of dimension n over $\mathbb{C}$. A prime Weil divisor $F \subset X$ is *f-exceptional* if $\dim f(F) \leq n - 2$. The closed subset $f(F) \subset Y$ is called the *center* of F on Y . More generally, a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Weil divisor $D = \sum a_j F_j$ is *f-exceptional* if all the irreducible components F_j are *f-exceptional*. Let $\text{Exc}(f) = \cup \{F_j \mid F_j \subset X \text{ a prime } f\text{-exceptional divisor}\}$; thus D is *f-exceptional* if and only if $\text{Supp}(D) \subset \text{Exc}(f)$.

(1.2) Choose a canonical divisor K_Y on Y . Assume that Y is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein, with global index r ; i.e., mK_Y is Cartier for some integer $m \geq 1$, and r is the smallest such integer. Then we can define a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor f^*K_Y on X by $f^*K_Y = \frac{1}{r}f^*(rK_Y)$. On the other hand, there is a unique canonical divisor K_X on X such that the $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $\Delta = K_X - f^*K_Y$ is *f-exceptional*. (K_X is obtained as follows: let ω be a rational differential n -form on Y_{reg} , the smooth locus of Y ; then $f^*\omega$ extends uniquely to a rational form on X , which we still denote by $f^*\omega$. If ω is chosen such that $K_Y = \text{div}_Y(\omega)$, then $K_X = \text{div}_X(f^*\omega)$.) The divisor $\Delta = K_X - f^*K_Y$ is called the *discrepancy divisor* of f . Note that K_Y varies in a linear equivalence class on Y , and correspondingly K_X varies in its own linear equivalence class on X ; however, Δ is uniquely determined by f : indeed, if $\omega' = \phi\omega$ on Y_{reg} , for some rational function $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(Y_{\text{reg}}) = \mathbb{C}(Y)$, then $f^*\omega' = (f^*\phi)(f^*\omega)$, and $\text{div}_X(f^*\phi) = f^*\text{div}_Y(\phi)$.

(1.3) Write $\Delta = \sum a_j F_j$; the rational numbers a_j are called *discrepancy coefficients*. Now consider another birational morphism $f' : X' \rightarrow Y$ (with X' a normal projective variety of dimension n). $f'^{-1} \circ f$ is a birational map $g : X \dashrightarrow X'$. Let $F_j \subset X$ be an *f-exceptional* divisor which intersects the regular locus $\text{Reg}(g)$ of g , and assume that g is an isomorphism at the generic point of F_j ; i.e., $\overline{g(F_j \cap \text{Reg}(g))}$ is a *divisor* F'_j on X' . Then F'_j is an *f'-exceptional* divisor; in fact, F_j and F'_j have

the same center on Y , $f(F_j) = f'(F'_j)$. Moreover, if a'_j is the coefficient of F'_j in $\Delta' = K_{X'} - f'^*K_Y$, then $a'_j = a_j$. This is seen by resolving the indeterminacies of the map g to a morphism $\tilde{g} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ ($\tilde{X}$ being obtained after a finite sequence of blowing-ups from X , cf. [Hir, p.144, Consequence (1) of Corollary 1]) and calculating in two ways the discrepancy coefficient of $\tilde{F}_j = \text{proper transform of } F_j \text{ on } \tilde{X}$. Thus, in fact, the discrepancy coefficient (and the center on Y) depends only on the discrete valuation of the rational function field $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ determined by F_j (or F'_j).

(1.4.) Conversely, let v be any discrete valuation of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$. Then v is associated to a certain divisor $F^0 \subset X^0$ for some birational morphism $f^0 : X^0 \rightarrow Y$; in fact, by Hironaka's embedded resolution of singularities, if we start with *any* birational morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as before, we can find a suitable f^0 with X^0 smooth, $\text{Exc}(f^0)$ a divisor with normal crossings, and X^0 obtained from X by a finite sequence of blowing-ups along smooth centers. Then $f^0(F^0) \subset Y$ depends only on v ; this closed subset is called the *center of v on Y* . v is *Y -exceptional* if this center has dimension at most $n-2$, and in this case v has a well-defined discrepancy coefficient with respect to Y .

(1.5) Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be as before, and let $F_j \subset X$ be f -exceptional. The computation of the discrepancy coefficient a_j is local on X ; i.e., we may replace Y with an open neighborhood of the generic point of $f(F_j)$, and X with an open neighborhood of the generic point of F_j . From this point of view, the projectivity requirement is irrelevant. In particular, we may consider discrepancy coefficients for *germs* (Y, y) of algebraic varieties; one such coefficient is associated to each Y -exceptional discrete valuation of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ whose center on Y contains y .

Moreover, the requirement that X be normal is also irrelevant in some situations; for example, if F_j is a Cartier divisor on X (or at least on some open subset $U \subset X$ with $F_j \cap U \neq \emptyset$), then the generic point of F_j has a *nonsingular* open neighborhood in X , and we may replace X with this neighborhood if we are interested only in the discrepancy coefficient of F_j .

(1.6) **Definition.** A projective variety Y as before (i.e. normal, $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein, n -dimensional) has *only terminal (canonical, log-terminal, log-canonical) singularities* if all discrepancy coefficients of Y are > 0 (resp. ≥ 0 , > -1 , ≥ -1). Similarly, Y is terminal (canonical, etc.) at a point y , or the germ (Y, y) is terminal (etc.), if all discrepancy coefficients of discrete valuations with center containing y are > 0 (resp. ≥ 0 , etc.)

Proposition 2. (cf. [CKM, Proposition 6.5]) *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper birational morphism, with X smooth and $\text{Exc}(f)$ with only normal crossings. Let $\Delta = K_X - f^*K_Y = \sum a_j F_j$, and let $\alpha = \min\{a_j\}$.*

If $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then all the discrepancy coefficients of Y are $\geq \alpha$ (even for those discrete valuations of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ which are Y -exceptional but do not correspond to divisors on X).

In particular, to check whether Y (or a germ (Y, y)) is terminal (etc.), it suffices to examine the discrepancy coefficients of a single resolution of singularities f as above.

We reproduce the proof here for the reader's convenience (cf. [CKM]); the same computation will be used again in (1.7) and in (2.1).

Proof. As explained in (1.4), it suffices to consider a single blowing-up of X along a smooth center $Z \subset X$. Let $h : X' \rightarrow X$ be this blowing-up, $F'_j = h^{-1}F_j$ (proper transform), and F' = the exceptional divisor of h . Let $r = \text{codim}_X(Z) \geq 2$. Since $\text{Exc}(f) = \cup F_j$ has only normal crossings, Z is contained in at most r of the divisors F_j ; say $Z \subset F_1, \dots, F_s$, $s \leq r$. Let $f' = f \circ h : X' \rightarrow Y$, and $\Delta' = K_{X'} - f'^*K_Y$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta' &= K_{X'} - h^*f'^*K_Y = K_{X'} - h^*(K_X - \Delta) = \\ &= K_{X'} - h^*K_X + h^*\left(\sum a_j F_j\right) = (r-1)F' + \sum a_j F'_j + \left(\sum_{j=1}^s a_j\right)F'; \end{aligned}$$

the discrepancy coefficient of F' is therefore $a' = (r-1) + (\sum_1^s a_j)$. If $\alpha \leq 0$, we have $\sum_1^s a_j \geq s\alpha \geq r\alpha$ (because $s \leq r$ and $\alpha \leq 0$), and therefore $a' \geq (r-1) + r\alpha \geq \alpha$ (because $r > 1$ and $\alpha \geq -1$). If $\alpha > 0$, then we get $a' \geq r-1 \geq 1 \geq \alpha$. $\square$

Remarks. 1. The condition $\alpha \leq 1$ can always be achieved, as follows: let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities, as in the statement of the proposition; choose a smooth subvariety $T \subset X$ of codimension 2, such that $T \not\subset \text{Exc}(f)$; and replace f with $f \circ g$, where $g : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the blowing-up of X along T . The computation used in the proof of the proposition shows that the exceptional divisor of g has discrepancy coefficient 1 relative to Y .

2. If $\alpha < -1$, then the infimum of all discrepancy coefficients relative to Y is $-\infty$; see [CKM, Claim 6.3]. (We prove a more precise statement in §2, Lemma 4.)

In general, the infimum of all discrepancy coefficients is called the *(total) discrepancy* of Y , notation: $\text{discrep}(Y)$. Thus $\text{discrep}(Y) = -\infty$ if Y is not log-canonical; if Y is log-canonical, then $-1 \leq \text{discrep}(Y) \leq 1$, and $\text{discrep}(Y)$ can be calculated by examining a single resolution of singularities $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as in the proposition.

3. If $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, the proof shows that every Y -exceptional discrete valuation of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$, other than those associated to the exceptional divisors of f , has discrepancy coefficient ≥ 1 .

(1.7) Let (Y, y) be an algebraic germ, as before, and let (Y^{an}, y) be the corresponding analytic germ; note that Y normal and irreducible $\implies Y^{an}$ normal and irreducible. Also, Y $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein $\implies Y^{an}$ $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein. The theory of discrepancy divisors, discrepancy coefficients, terminal singularities, etc., can be developed in parallel in the category of germs of Moishezon analytic spaces; the results discussed so far are identical in the two categories.

An interesting question arises when we try to compare the discrepancy coefficients for (Y, y) and (Y^{an}, y) . For example, is it true that (Y, y) is terminal if and only if (Y^{an}, y) is terminal? (If this is true, then “terminal” depends only on the analytic equivalence class of an algebraic germ.) In general, the field of meromorphic functions of Y^{an} , $\mathcal{M}(Y^{an})$, has many discrete valuations which vanish identically on $\mathbb{C}(Y)$; therefore the question is non-trivial.

The answer is given by the following observation:

Proposition 3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow (Y, y)$ be a proper birational morphism with X smooth and $\text{Exc}(f)$ with normal crossings. Let $\{F_j\}_{j \in J}$ be the f -exceptional divisors on X , and let $\Delta = \sum a_j F_j$.*

Then the set of all discrepancy coefficients of (Y, y) is completely determined by the following combinatorial data:

- (1) The finite set J ;
- (2) The rational numbers a_j (one for each $j \in J$); and
- (3) For each subset $I \subset J$, the logical value of $\bigcap_{j \in I} F_j \neq \emptyset$ (TRUE or FALSE).

This observation (and its proof below) is valid in the algebraic as well as in the analytic case. In particular, the set of all “algebraic” and the set of all “analytic” discrepancy coefficients of (Y, y) coincide. (We may start with the same algebraic resolution $f : X \rightarrow (Y, y)$ in the analytic category, as $f^{an} : X^{an} \rightarrow (Y^{an}, y)$; then the initial combinatorial data for f^{an} is the same as for f .)

Proof. Let v be a Y -exceptional discrete valuation of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ with center containing y . By [Hir, Main Theorem II], there exists a finite succession of blowing-ups $f_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ along $Z_i \subset X_i$, where $0 \leq i < N$ and $X_0 = X$, with the following properties:

- (i) v corresponds to a divisor on X_N ;
- (ii) Z_i is smooth and irreducible; and
- (iii) If $E_0 = \text{Exc}(f)$, and $E_{i+1} = f_i^{-1}(E_i)_{\text{red}} \cup f_i^{-1}(Z_i)_{\text{red}}$, $0 \leq i < N$, then E_i has only normal crossings with Z_i .

(Recall what this means, from [Hir, Definition 2]: at each point $x \in Z_i$ there is a regular system of parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{X_i, x}$, say $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, such that each component of E_i which passes through x has ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X_i, x}$ generated by one of the z_j , and the ideal of Z_i in $\mathcal{O}_{X_i, x}$ is generated by some of the z_j .)

Let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up along a smooth irreducible subvariety $Z \subset X$, of codimension $r \geq 2$, such that $\text{Exc}(f) = \bigcup_{j \in J} F_j$ has only normal crossings with Z . Say $Z \subset F_j$ if and only if $j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$; $s \leq r$.

Considering $g = f \circ f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y$, we get a new element j' added to J , $J_1 = J \cup \{j'\}$, where j' corresponds to the exceptional divisor F' of f_1 . The corresponding number is $a_{j'} = (r-1) + (a_{j_1} + \dots + a_{j_s})$. Since the F_j have only normal crossings with Z , the “intersection data” for J_1 is completely determined by the data for J , plus the following combinatorial data for Z :

- (4) For each $I \subset J$, the non-negative integer $d_I = \dim \left(Z \cap \left[\bigcap_{j \in I} F_j \right] \right)$.

(Note that this collection of data contains, in particular, the codimension r of Z , in the form $d_\emptyset = r$, and also the information about which F_j ’s contain Z , in the form $Z \subset F_j \Leftrightarrow d_{\{j\}} = r$.)

Finally, which such functions $\{d_I\}_{I \subset J}$ are possible is completely determined by the “intersection data” for J . Since every discrepancy coefficient of Y is obtained after a finite number of such elementary operations on the combinatorial data (corresponding to a succession of blowing-ups along smooth centers), the result follows by induction. $\square$

2. MINIMAL DISCREPANCIES AND SHOKUROV’S CONJECTURE

(2.1) Definition. Let (Y, y) be an algebraic or analytic germ (as always, we assume it is normal, $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein, n -dimensional). The *minimal discrepancy of Y at y* , $\text{md}_y(Y)$, is the infimum of all discrepancy coefficients of discrete valuations of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$, resp. $\mathcal{M}(Y^{an})$, whose center on Y is y .

Lemma 4. *If (Y, y) is not log-canonical at y , then $\text{md}_y(Y) = -\infty$.*

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow (Y, y)$ be a resolution of singularities with $\text{Exc}(f)$ having only normal crossings. Let $F_j \subset X$ be an f -exceptional divisor with $y \in f(F_j)$ and discrepancy coefficient $a_j < -1$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is a union of f -exceptional divisors, and F_j meets $f^{-1}(y)$, there is at least one exceptional divisor F_i with $f(F_i) = \{y\}$ and $F_i \cap F_j \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that F_i and F_j are distinct (if $F_j \subset f^{-1}(y)$ and it is the only component of the fiber, we may blow up X at a point of F_j ; then take the exceptional divisor of this blowing-up in place of F_i , and the proper transform of F_j in place of F_j). Set $Z = F_i \cap F_j$; then Z is a smooth subvariety of codimension 2 in X , and is not contained in any other exceptional divisor. Let a_i be the discrepancy coefficient of F_i .

Let $g: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up of X along Z . Let F' be its exceptional divisor, with discrepancy coefficient a' relative to Y . Then $a' = 1 + a_j + a_i$ (see the proof of Proposition 2 in §1). Moreover, F' has center $\{y\}$ on Y , and intersects the proper transform F'_j of F_j on $\tilde{X}$ (which has discrepancy coefficient $a'_j = a_j$ relative to Y).

Note that $a_j < -1 \implies a' < a_i$. In fact, since all the discrepancy coefficients of (Y, y) are integer multiples of $\frac{1}{r}$ (if r is the index of K_Y at y), we see that $a' \leq a_i - \frac{1}{r}$. Therefore the proof may be completed by induction. $\square$

(2.2) Recall the statement of Shokurov's conjecture from the Introduction. The lemma we have just proved shows that the conjecture is true for non-log-canonical singularities.

Shokurov's conjecture is trivially true for curves (there are no singular normal points in dimension 1). It is also true in dimension 2: if (Y, y) is a normal singularity and $f: X \rightarrow (Y, y)$ is the *minimal* desingularization, then *all* the coefficients of $\Delta = K_X - f^*K_Y$ are ≤ 0 (see, for example, [ELM, Lemma 1.4]).

(2.3) The following lemma shows that the conjecture can be reduced to the case of singularities of index one:

Lemma 5. *Let $\varphi: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of normal, $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein varieties. Assume that φ is étale in codimension one. Let y' be a point of Y' , and $y = \varphi(y')$.*

Then $\text{md}_y(Y) \leq \text{md}_{y'}(Y')$.

In particular, if (Y, y) has index r , then there exists a $\varphi: Y' \rightarrow Y$ as in the lemma, with Y' having index one (the “index-one cover”, cf. [CKM, Definition 6.8]). Thus it would suffice to prove Shokurov's conjecture for singularities of index one.

Proof. (cf. [ELM, proof of Lemma 2.2]) Let $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$ be a resolution of singularities of Y' such that $\text{md}_{y'}(Y') = \text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') \geq -1$, where $\Delta' = K_{X'} - f'^*K_{Y'}$ and F' is a divisor on X' with $f'(F') = \{y'\}$. (If $\text{md}_{y'}(Y') = -\infty$, let $\alpha < -1$ be a rational number, and choose f', F' such that $f'(F') = \{y'\}$ and $\text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') < \alpha$.)

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities of Y . By blowing up X , then X' , if necessary, we may assume that $\psi = f^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ f': X' \rightarrow X$ is a morphism and that $\psi(F')$ is a divisor $F \subset X$. Let $\Delta = K_X - f^*K_Y$ and $a = \text{ord}_F(\Delta)$.

Let t be the ramification index of ψ along F' . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{X'} &= \psi^* K_X + (t-1)F' + \text{other terms} \\
&= \psi^*(f^* K_Y + aF + \text{other terms}) + (t-1)F' + \text{other terms} \\
&= \psi^* f^* K_Y + (ta + t-1)F' + \text{other terms} \\
&= f'^* \varphi^* K_Y + (ta + t-1)F' + \text{other terms} \\
&= f'^* K_{Y'} + (ta + t-1)F' + \text{other terms}
\end{aligned}$$

(note that $\varphi^* K_Y = K_{Y'}$, because φ is étale in codimension one). Therefore $\text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') = ta + t - 1$.

If $\text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') \geq -1$, we get $\text{ord}_F(\Delta) = a \leq \text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') = \text{md}_{y'}(Y')$; indeed, $t \geq 1$, and therefore $ta \leq ta + (t-1)(1 + \text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta')) = t \text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta')$.

If $\text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') < \alpha < -1$, then $\text{ord}_F(\Delta) = a \leq \frac{1}{t} \text{ord}_{F'}(\Delta') < \frac{1}{t} \alpha$, with $1 \leq t \leq \deg(\varphi)$ and α an arbitrarily negative rational number.

Since $f(F) = f\psi(F') = \varphi f'(F') = \varphi(\{y'\}) = \{y\}$, the lemma is proved. $\square$

(2.4) Finally, we show that $\text{md}_y(Y)$ is an analytic invariant. In fact, we show that the set of all discrepancy coefficients for divisors with center $\{y\}$ on Y is the same in the algebraic and in the analytic category:

Proposition 6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow (Y, y)$ be a resolution of singularities, as in Proposition 3. Then the set of all discrepancy coefficients for divisors with center $\{y\}$ on Y is completely determined by the combinatorial data (1), (2), (3) in Proposition 3, plus:*

(3+) *For each $j \in J$, the logical value of “ $f(F_j) = \{y\}$ ” (TRUE or FALSE).*

Proof. Let $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up of a smooth subvariety $Z \subset X$, as in the proof of Proposition 3. Put $g = f \circ f_1$, and let F' be the exceptional divisor of f_1 . Then $[g(F') = \{y\}] \Leftrightarrow [f(F_j) = \{y\}]$ for at least one of the F_j 's containing Z . Indeed, if $Z \subset F_j$ and $f(F_j) = \{y\}$, then $g(F') = f(Z) \subset \{y\}$, so that in fact $g(F') = \{y\}$. Conversely, $g(F') = \{y\} \implies Z \subset f^{-1}(y)$. As Z is irreducible and $f^{-1}(y)$ is a union of divisors F_j with $f(F_j) = \{y\}$, Z must be contained in at least one such F_j .

Therefore the “extended” combinatorial data for g (including the information in (3+)) can be obtained from the “extended” combinatorial data for f . The conclusion follows by induction. $\square$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT (THEOREM 1)

(3.1) Recall the statement of Theorem 1 from the Introduction. By (2.4), we may assume that Y is the hypersurface $G = 0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, with $y = 0$. For convenience, denote $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ by V ; thus $Y \subset V$. Let $U = \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$; write the coordinates in V as $(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$, and the coordinates in U as $(u_1, \dots, u_n, t)$.

Let $f : U \rightarrow V$ be the birational morphism defined by $y_{n+1} = t; y_i = t^{a_i} u_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $E \subset U$ be the hyperplane $(t = 0)$; then $\text{Exc}(f) = E$.

(3.2) Let $\bar{Y} \subset U$ be the proper transform of Y by f , $\bar{f} : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$ the restriction of f to $\bar{Y}$, and $\bar{E} = E|_{\bar{Y}}$ (as a Cartier divisor). By hypothesis, $f^*Y = \bar{Y} + AE$, and $\bar{E}$ has equation $\phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 0$ in $E \cong \mathbb{A}^n$. Since ϕ has at least one irreducible factor with exponent 1, $\bar{E}$ has at least one irreducible component with multiplicity one: $\bar{E} = F_1 + \dots$. As explained in (1.5), since $\bar{Y}$ is smooth in a neighborhood of

the generic point of F_1 , and F_1 is the exceptional divisor which will produce the desired discrepancy coefficient, we need not worry about the normality of $\bar{Y}$.

(3.3) Take $\omega = dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_{n+1}$ on V ; then $f^*\omega = t^{a_1+\cdots+a_n} du_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du_n \wedge dt$ on U , so that $K_U - f^*K_V = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)E$.

The adjunction formula gives $K_Y = K_V + Y|_Y$ and $K_{\bar{Y}} = K_U + \bar{Y}|_{\bar{Y}}$. Therefore we have:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{Y}} - \bar{f}^*K_Y &= (K_U + \bar{Y})|_{\bar{Y}} - \bar{f}^*(K_V + Y|_Y) \\ &= (K_U - f^*K_V + \bar{Y} - f^*Y)|_{\bar{Y}} \\ &= ((a_1 + \cdots + a_n)E - AE)|_{\bar{Y}} \\ &= d\bar{E} = dF_1 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

(Recall that $d = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n) - A$.)

Thus the discrepancy coefficient of $F_1 \subset \bar{Y}$ with respect to Y is equal to d . Since $\bar{f}(F_1) = \{y\}$, Theorem 1 is proved. $\square$

(3.4) Example. Let (Y, y) be a singular germ of multiplicity 2. That is, we may assume that Y is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ given by an equation $G = 0$, with $y = 0$, G and all its first-order partial derivatives at 0 equal to zero, and some second-order partial derivative of G at 0 not equal to zero.

If $(Y, 0)$ has rank at least 2, then $\text{md}_y(Y) \leq n - 2$ (as predicted by Shokurov's conjecture). Indeed, consider the usual blowing-up of V at 0; that is, take $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 1$. The hypothesis means that $A = 2$, and — after a linear change of parameters, if necessary — $\phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1^2 + \cdots + u_r^2$, where $r \geq 2$ is the rank of the singularity. Thus $d = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n) - A = n - 2$ in this case, and ϕ is irreducible (if $r \geq 3$), resp. a product of two distinct irreducible (linear) factors, if $r = 2$.

4. MINIMAL DISCREPANCIES OF LOG-TERMINAL THREEFOLD SINGULARITIES

Let (Y, y) be a three-dimensional log-terminal singularity. In this section we will show that $\text{md}_y(Y) \leq 1$ (so that Shokurov's conjecture is true in this case).

(4.1) As shown in (2.3), we may assume that (Y, y) has index one. Then (Y, y) is canonical (the index-one cover of a log-terminal singularity is again log-terminal, by Proposition 2, and therefore canonical).

In this case, M. Reid [C3f, Theorem 2.2] proved that either (Y, y) is a cDV point (see below), or there exists a proper birational morphism $f : Y' \rightarrow Y$ with $f^*K_Y = K_{Y'}$ and $f^{-1}(y)$ containing at least one prime divisor of Y' . Of course, in the latter case we have $\text{md}_y(Y) = 0$. There only remains to consider the case when (Y, y) is a compound Du Val (cDV) point; that is, (Y, y) is analytically equivalent to a hypersurface singularity at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{A}^4$, with equation $G = 0$,

$$G(y_1, y_2, y_3, t) = f(y_1, y_2, y_3) + tg(y_1, y_2, y_3, t),$$

where $f(y_1, y_2, y_3) = 0$ defines a Du Val singularity (rational double point) of a surface at $0 \in \mathbb{A}^3$.

To simplify notation, we write $\mathbf{y}$ for y_1, y_2, y_3 and $\mathbf{u}$ for u_1, u_2, u_3 .

By (2.4), we may assume that (Y, y) is the hypersurface $(G = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$, with $y = 0$. By Theorem 1, it suffices to find $a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 1$ such that

$$G(t^{a_1}u_1, t^{a_2}u_2, t^{a_3}u_3, t) = t^A\phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^{A+1}\psi(\mathbf{u}, t)$$

with $\phi(\mathbf{u}) \neq 0$, $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = 1$, and ϕ having at least one irreducible factor with exponent one in its prime decomposition.

(4.2) We will do a case-by-case analysis, according to the type of singularity; $(Y, 0)$ is of type cA_n , cD_n , or cE_n , if the surface singularity $f(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \subset \mathbb{A}^3$ is of type A_n , D_n , or E_n .

In each case, $f(\mathbf{y})$ is completely known. $g(\mathbf{y}, t)$, on the other hand, is not. Of course, we have $g(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$, or else $(Y, 0)$ would be a smooth point. We will not make any other assumptions about g .

Write $g = g_1 + g_2 + \dots$, where g_i is a homogeneous form of degree i , and $o_k(g) = g_k + g_{k+1} + \dots$ ($k \geq 1$).

A note on terminology: we distinguish between *form* and *polynomial*; for instance, a quadratic polynomial is the sum of a quadratic form, a linear form, and a constant term. We say that a polynomial (or a form) *contains* a certain monomial if the coefficient of the monomial in that polynomial is non-zero. We say that a monomial *contains* y_1 if that monomial is divisible by y_1 .

(4.3) Case cA_n : $f(\mathbf{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^{n+1}$ ($n \geq 1$).

Then $(Y, 0)$ is a singularity of multiplicity 2 and rank at least 2. This case is therefore covered by the Example discussed in (3.4).

(4.4) Case cD_n : $f(\mathbf{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2 y_3^2 + y_3^{n-1}$ ($n \geq 4$).

If $g_1(\mathbf{y}, t) \neq 0$, then the quadratic part of $G = f + tg$ is $y_1^2 + t g_1(\mathbf{y}, t)$. If this quadratic part has rank at least 2, then the conclusion follows from (3.4). If it has rank 1, i.e. if $y_1^2 + t g_1(\mathbf{y}, t)$ is the square of a linear form, then a linear change of variable, $y'_1 = y_1 + \alpha y_2 + \beta y_3 + \gamma t$, transforms the equation $G = 0$ into a similar one with $g_1(\mathbf{y}, t) = 0$.

So we need to consider only the case $g_1 = 0$. Note that a similar argument applies to singularities of type cE_n .

Assume that $g_1 = 0$. Then put $a_1 = 2, a_2 = a_3 = 1$; that is, put $y_1 = t^2 u_1, y_2 = t u_2, y_3 = t u_3$. We have:

$$G(t^2 u_1, t u_2, t u_3, t) = t^3 \phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^4 \psi(\mathbf{u}, t),$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_2 u_3^2 + \delta_{n,4} u_3^3 + [\text{terms of degree } \leq 2 \text{ in the } u_j]$; $\delta_{n,4} = 1$ if $n = 4$, otherwise $\delta_{n,4} = 0$. (The terms of lower degree come from $t g_2(t^2 u_1, t u_2, t u_3, t)$, with g_2 — the quadratic component of $g(\mathbf{y}, t)$. Note that not *all* the terms in $t g_2$ contribute to $\phi(\mathbf{u})$: as $y_1 = t^2 u_1$, the monomials in $g_2(\mathbf{y}, t)$ which contain y_1 give rise to monomials containing t to the fourth or higher power.)

The proof in this case is complete, for $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = (2 + 1 + 1) - 3 = 1$, and ϕ has at least one irreducible factor with exponent one (otherwise ϕ would have to be the cube of a linear polynomial in $\mathbf{u}$; that linear polynomial would have to contain u_2 , because ϕ contains $u_2 u_3^2$, and then ϕ , being the cube of that linear polynomial, would contain u_3^3 , which is not the case).

(4.5) Case cE_6 : $f(\mathbf{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_3^4$.

As in (4.4), we may assume that the linear part $g_1(\mathbf{y}, t)$ of $g(\mathbf{y}, t)$ is equal to zero.

In g_2 (the quadratic part of g), separate the monomials which contain y_1 from those that don't: $g_2(\mathbf{y}, t) = y_1 L(\mathbf{y}, t) + Q(y_2, y_3, t)$, where L is a linear form and Q is a quadratic form.

Put $y_1 = t^2 u_1, y_2 = t u_2, y_3 = t u_3$; then

$$G(t^2 u_1, t u_2, t u_3, t) = t^3 \phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^4 \psi(\mathbf{u}, t),$$

with $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_2^3 + Q(u_2, u_3, 1)$.

If ϕ is not the cube of a linear polynomial, then we complete the proof just as in (4.4). However, in this case it might be that ϕ is a perfect cube. If this is so, then $y_2^3 + tQ(y_2, y_3, t)$ is the cube of a linear form in y_2, y_3, t . A linear change of variable, $y_2' = y_2 + \alpha y_3 + \beta t$, reduces the proof to the case $Q = 0$. This argument stands also in the cases cE_7 and cE_8 , discussed below.

There only remains to consider the case $g_2(\mathbf{y}, t) = y_1 L(\mathbf{y}, t)$, where L is a linear form (possibly zero). In this case put $a_1 = a_2 = 2, a_3 = 1$, i.e. $y_1 = t^2 u_1, y_2 = t^2 u_2, y_3 = t u_3$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{y}, t) &= y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_3^4 + t[y_1 L(\mathbf{y}, t) + o_3(g)], \quad \text{and} \\ G(t^2 u_1, t^2 u_2, t u_3, t) &= t^4 \phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^5 \psi(\mathbf{u}, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_1^2 + u_3^4 + [\text{terms of degree } \leq 3 \text{ in the } u_j]$, and the expression in brackets does not contain u_1^2 (so that u_1^2 doesn't cancel out from ϕ).

Note that $\deg(\phi) = 4$, and ϕ cannot be the square of a quadratic polynomial in the u_j (if it were, then ϕ would contain the mixed product $u_1 u_3^2$, because it contains u_1^2 and u_3^4 but no u_1^4 ; the monomial $u_1 u_3^2$ could only arise from a monomial $t(y_1 y_3^2 t^k)$ of $t g(\mathbf{y}, t)$, $k \geq 0$; but $t(t^2 u_1)(t u_3)^2 t^k = t^{5+k} u_1 u_3^2$, so $u_1 u_3^2$ cannot be a monomial of ϕ). Therefore ϕ has an irreducible factor with exponent one, and the conclusion follows — note that $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = (2 + 2 + 1) - 4 = 1$.

(4.6) Case cE_7 : $f(\mathbf{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_2 y_3^3$.

We may again assume that $g_1 = 0$, as in (4.4), and that $g_2 = y_1 L(\mathbf{y}, t)$ with L a linear form (possibly zero), as in (4.5).

Write $L(\mathbf{y}, t) = L_1(y_1, y_2) + L_2(y_3, t)$, and $g_3(\mathbf{y}, t) = C_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + C_2(y_3, t)$, where C_1 and C_2 are cubic forms such that every monomial of C_1 contains y_1 or y_2 .

Put $a_1 = a_2 = 2, a_3 = 1$; then

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{y}, t) &= y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_2 y_3^3 + t[y_1 L_1(y_1, y_2) + y_1 L_2(y_3, t) \\ &\quad + C_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + C_2(y_3, t) + o_4(g)], \quad \text{and} \\ G(t^2 u_1, t^2 u_2, t u_3, t) &= t^4 \phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^5 \psi(\mathbf{u}, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_1^2 + u_1 L_2(u_3, 1) + C_2(u_3, 1)$.

Note that $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = (2 + 2 + 1) - 4 = 1$.

If ϕ has degree 3 (i.e. if $C_2(y_3, t)$ contains y_3^3), then ϕ has an irreducible factor with exponent one, because ϕ cannot be a perfect cube (it contains u_1^2 but no u_1^3); in this case the proof is complete.

Otherwise ϕ has degree 2 (it contains u_1^2). Then either ϕ has an irreducible factor with exponent one (and then the proof is complete), or else ϕ is the square of a linear polynomial. In the latter case, $y_1^2 + t y_1 L_2(y_3, t) + t C_2(y_3, t)$ is a perfect square. The (non-linear) change of variable $y_1' = y_1 + \frac{1}{2} t L_2(y_3, t)$ transforms the equation $G = 0$ into a similar one with $L_2 = C_2 = 0$ (the verification is straightforward). Therefore we may assume that G has the form:

$$G(\mathbf{y}, t) = y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_2 y_3^3 + t[y_1 L_1(y_1, y_2) + C_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + o_4(g)],$$

where L_1 is a linear form, and C_1 is a cubic form such that every monomial of C_1 contains y_1 or y_2 . (The same argument carries over unchanged to the last case, cE_8 .)

Now put $a_1 = 3, a_2 = 2, a_3 = 1$; that is, $y_1 = t^3u_1, y_2 = t^2u_2, y_3 = tu_3$. We have:

$$G(t^3u_1, t^2u_2, tu_3, t) = t^5\phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^6\psi(\mathbf{u}, t),$$

where $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_2u_3^3 + u_2p(u_3) + q(u_3)$; $u_2p(u_3)$ corresponds to the monomials of $C_1(\mathbf{y}, t)$ of the form $y_2y_3^kt^{2-k}, k = 0, 1, 2$ (all other monomials of C_1 produce at least a sixth power of t ; recall that all monomials of the cubic form C_1 contain y_1 or y_2), and $q(u_3)$ corresponds to the monomials of g_4 of the form $y_3^kt^{4-k}, k = 0, \dots, 4$. Note that ϕ has degree exactly one as a polynomial in u_2 , and therefore ϕ cannot be the square of another polynomial in the u_j . Since ϕ has (total) degree 4, it must have an irreducible factor with exponent one. As $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = (3 + 2 + 1) - 5 = 1$, the proof is complete in this case.

(4.7) Case cE_8 : $f(\mathbf{y}) = y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_3^5$.

As in the previous case, we may assume that

$$G(\mathbf{y}, t) = y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_3^5 + t[y_1L_1(y_1, y_2) + C_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + o_4(g)],$$

where L_1 is a linear form and C_1 is a cubic form such that every monomial of C_1 contains y_1 or y_2 .

If we take $a_1 = 3, a_2 = 2, a_3 = 1$, i.e. $y_1 = t^3u_1, y_2 = t^2u_2, y_3 = tu_3$, we get

$$G(t^3u_1, t^2u_2, tu_3, t) = t^5\phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^6\psi(\mathbf{u}, t)$$

with $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_3^5 + u_2p(u_3) + q(u_3)$, where $p(u_3)$ and $q(u_3)$ are exactly as in the previous case.

$(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = (3 + 2 + 1) - 5 = 1$; so the proof is complete if ϕ has an irreducible factor with exponent one.

Since $\deg(\phi) = 5$, if ϕ does not have an irreducible factor with exponent one then $\phi = M^2N^3$ for two linear polynomials $M = M(u_2, u_3)$ and $N = N(u_2, u_3)$ (possibly equal). In particular, if this is the case then ϕ cannot have degree exactly one as a polynomial in u_2 , and therefore $p(u_3) = 0$; i.e., $C_1(\mathbf{y}, t)$ contains no monomials of the form $y_2y_3^kt^{2-k}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2$). As every monomial of C_1 contains y_1 or y_2 , this means that every monomial of C_1 actually contains y_1 or y_2^2 .

Now $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_3^5 + q(u_3)$ (q of degree at most four) $= M^2(u_3)N^3(u_3)$. If we write $g_4(\mathbf{y}, t) = F_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + F_2(y_3, t)$, with F_1, F_2 forms of degree 4 such that every monomial of F_1 contains y_1 or y_2 , then $q(u_3) = F_2(u_3, 1)$. $u_3^5 + q(u_3) = M^2(u_3)N^3(u_3)$ means that $y_3^5 + F_2(y_3, t) = \tilde{M}^2(y_3, t)\tilde{N}^3(y_3, t)$, with $\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}$ linear forms in y_3, t ($M(u_3) = \tilde{M}(u_3, 1)$, etc.) A linear change of variable $y'_3 = y_3 + \alpha t$ reduces G to the form

$$G(\mathbf{y}, t) = y_1^2 + y_2^3 + y_3^3(y_3 + \alpha t)^2 + t[y_1L_1(y_1, y_2) + C_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + F_1(\mathbf{y}, t) + o_5(g)],$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ (possibly $\alpha = 0$), every monomial of the cubic form C_1 contains y_1 or y_2 , and every monomial of the quartic form F_1 contains y_1 or y_2 .

Put $a_1 = 3, a_2 = a_3 = 2$; that is, $y_1 = t^3u_1, y_2 = t^2u_2, y_3 = t^2u_3$. Then

$$G(t^3u_1, t^2u_2, t^2u_3, t) = t^6\phi(\mathbf{u}) + t^7\psi(\mathbf{u}, t)$$

with $\phi(\mathbf{u}) = u_1^2 + u_2^3 + [\text{terms of degree at most 2 in the } u_j]$, and u_1^2 is not among the terms inside the brackets. Therefore ϕ has an irreducible factor with exponent one; as $(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - A = (3 + 2 + 2) - 6 = 1$, the proof is complete in all cases. $\square$

(4.8) *Remarks.* 1. If (Y, y) is a terminal threefold singularity of index one, then $\text{md}_y(Y) = 1$ ($\text{md}_y(Y) \geq 1$ because all the discrepancy coefficients of Y at y are positive integers). On the other hand, Kawamata [Kaw] proved that the minimal discrepancy of a terminal threefold singularity of index $r \geq 2$ is $\frac{1}{r}$.

2. Our computations in §4 seem related to those in [Mrk], except for the fact that Markushevich uses the toric language. At first glance, it looks like his proof uses the assumption that the singularity is isolated; however, this is needed only to reduce the equation $G = 0$ to various standard forms, and – as our elementary computations in (4.3) – (4.7) show – this can be done without assuming the singularity is isolated. This allows us to conclude that Shokurov’s conjecture is true for canonical (and log-terminal) threefold singularities, rather than just for terminal singularities.

REFERENCES

- [CKM] H. Clemens, J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Higher Dimensional Complex Geometry*, Astérisque **166**, 1988.
- [ELM] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld and V. Mašek, *Global generation of linear series on terminal threefolds*, Internat. J. Math. **6** (1995), 1–18.
- [Hir] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero: I and II*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [Kaw] Y. Kawamata, Appendix to V.V. Shokurov, *Three-dimensional log perestroikas*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 95–202.
- [KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the Minimal Model Problem*, in *Algebraic Geometry, Sendai*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **10**, Kinokuniya-North Holland (1987), 283–360.
- [Kol] J. Kollár et al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Astérisque **211** (1992).
- [Mrk] D. Markushevich, *Minimal discrepancy for a terminal cDV singularity is 1*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **3** (1996), 445–456.
- [C3f] M. Reid, *Canonical 3-folds*, in *Géométrie algébrique Angers 1979* (A. Beauville, ed.), Sijthof & Noordhoff, 1980, 273–310.
- [Sho] V. V. Shokurov, *Problems about Fano varieties*, in *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties – Open problems*, the Katata symposium of the Taniguchi Foundation (1988), 30–32.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 1146, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ST. LOUIS, MO 63130
E-mail address: vmasek@math.wustl.edu